

**From:** Derrick Snowdy <[jdsnowdy@interlog.com](mailto:jdsnowdy@interlog.com)>  
**Sent:** May 10, 2021 5:49 PM  
**To:** Callaghan, John <[John.Callaghan@gowlingwlg.com](mailto:John.Callaghan@gowlingwlg.com)>  
**Cc:** Matthew Milne-Smith <[MMilne-Smith@dwpv.com](mailto:MMilne-Smith@dwpv.com)>;  
Mark Wiffen <[mark.wiffen@wiffenlaw.ca](mailto:mark.wiffen@wiffenlaw.ca)>  
**Subject:** Re: Catalyst

Mr. Callaghan,

I continue to maintain that I have no involvement with your client's matter. I do however find myself now in a situation where it has captured my attention. This includes the convoluted pursuit of Frank Newbould which I will address here.

I have recently learned the contents of the documents filed before the court by your clients. I now understand their reluctance to share the materials contents when asking me to provide an affidavit claiming they constituted a privilege. Had I had any idea of what the contents of these submissions were, I would have ensured that I communicated certain information and particulars.

You may recall I requested several times an explanation in detail the nature of what these purported "Davies" documents contained and this was denied. Only a general description referred to as interview notes by Ms. Lutes was

given as an explanation. I found the characterization of the meeting with Ms. Lutes as an "interview" odd and do not consider it as such. When I met with Mr. Milne-Smith, in 2020 he and his associate referred to the notes of Ms. Lutes with me. I found it strange that they would be in possession of any notes or solicitor client material. I am left with the distinct impression that these notes were delivered to Mr. Milne-Smith intentionally in order to create a narrative that actions taken by your client or your client's agents were motivated by myself or some other individual as cover. I am aware that your client's investigative initiative commenced before I met with Ms. Lutes.

Now that I have seen the "notes" of Ms. Lutes, and an alleged email exchange between Mr. Glassman and Mr. Guy, and an exchange of "text" messages again allegedly between Mr. Guy and Mr. Glassman I have a number of comments. I believe that everyone should have some clarity on these events from my perspective lest further misrepresentations or misleading materials involving me are submitted to the court for purposes unknown to me.

1. I have never been shown any of the alleged emails, letters or text message exchanges between the parties.
2. I have never been given an opportunity to respond to any of the statements made in those alleged documents.

3. I am subject to multiple restraining orders by two separate courts and restrained under statute of the Securities Act as to what I can say regarding a number of things contained in the materials submitted to court.
4. I believe that your client is aware of those restraints and is relying upon them to set a narrative to suit their position.
5. With respect to an email allegedly sent by Mr. Guy to Mr. Glassman detailing a number of events attributed to me, I have been asked by a reporter if I in fact wrote this email. I did not write this email and upon review I can confidently say that there are a number of materially wrong statements in it along with misrepresentations of several circumstances.
6. Since 2015 a preserved copy of my email server has been retained as part of an RCMP anti-corruption investigation as a result of unsolicited classified RCMP material being emailed to me randomly. I can confidently say that the RCMP can confirm that I authored no such emails.
7. I have no business dealings with Mr. Riley, Mr. Glassman or their associated companies and have on numerous occasions refused their offer or their agents

offers of payment in exchange for making statements supporting their narrative.

8. It is very clear from those documents and something that I had long suspected, Mr. Glassman feels I was part of a conspiracy against him since at least early 2017 or possibly earlier.

9. Mr. Guy is client on an unrelated matter and my association with Mr. Marc Cohodes has been a point of contention and confrontation with him.

10. It appears both Mr. Glassman and Mr. Guy were of the same opinion that I was engaged in some way with an interest against their business interests to the benefits of a short selling or market manipulation cabal.

11. I have no association with any of Mr. Guy's or Mr. Glassman's numerous lawyers, investigators, agents or litigations except for a pending criminal trial in California.

12. For context and as the matter is of public record before the criminal courts scheduled for trial, the unrelated matter with Mr. Guy concerns a Los Angeles area fraudster named Bernhard Fritsch. Mr. Fritsch defrauded Mr. Guy's previous fund of significant investment capital. I was retained by the fund to investigate and pursue Mr. Fritsch which I did so successfully.

13. The investigation into Mr. Fritsch ran from November of 2016 to November of 2017.

14. FBI recorded conversations revealed that Mr. Fritsch solicited an individual to obtain money from unsuspecting investors for Mr. Fritsch's company in exchange for a 25% commission of the money. Both Fritsch and the individual knew that the company did not possess the technology to purported to have and that the investment would be made fraudulently. Mr. Fritsch in recorded conversation identified Toronto resident Mark Valentine as being in similar scheme with him and that Mr. Valentine could be relied upon to give credibility to Mr. Fritsch's claim that he would make good on the payment of the percentage.

15. Mr. Fritsch, in a previous recorded conversation by me in New York City in December 2016 stated that he had met with President Elect Trump that morning and that the White House would be using his company as a social media supplier. This drew the attention of US Federal Authorities including the United States Secret Service and other Treasury Department agencies.

16. Prior to the commencement of the Fritsch case, I was acquainted to Mr. Carson Block and had recently met with him in San Francisco. This was not known to Mr. Guy.

17. From the documents I have recently seen there is reference to the situation of former Ontario Securities Commission counsel Albert Pelletier. I am familiar with Mr. Pelletier and the circumstances surrounding his removal from the OSC.

18. Mr. Pelletier while working at the OSC was an associate of Cullen Price. Mr. Price is staff counsel to the OSC and in a litigation matter heard in 2012 I caught Mr. Price relying upon perjured documents manufactured by former RCMP Sgt. Lawrence Tronstad.

19. I was aware from an ongoing court case, that prior to leaving the RCMP former Sgt. Tronstad as the manager of wiretap intercepts had been caught altering wiretap records in organized crime cases by changing the transcripts. Those altered transcripts were used to obtain warrants for additional wiretaps on other RCMP officers specifically Sgt. Frank McIntosh and Sgt. Kelly Helowka.

20. It was known to me that Tronstad had been hired by former RCMP Insp Gregory Gard for a sum of \$25,000 to produce the aforementioned perjured report.

21. In 2012 I wrote to Cullen Price during OSC litigation and reported the perjured evidence to him. Price ignored me and submitted the material having been informed that it was falsified and provided the evidence of such.

22. When I was called to testified, Mr. Price withdrew the allegations against the accused the day before I was scheduled. The OSC litigation collapsed and the OSC staff blamed me for the failure of their case.

23. In 2016 I testified in a sealed court proceeding concerning Mr. Tronstad, Mr. Gard and Mr. Price's actions concerning the OSC matter. As a result, Mr. Gard was subject to a police investigation, retained independent counsel in his defense and left his employment at the OSC.

24. In January 2017 I was not a popular person at the OSC.

25. In January of 2017 Mr. Cohodes was in Toronto and scheduled to meet with Mr. Pelletier and the OSC on 4 matters. I was called by Mr. Cohodes who asked me to contact the RCMP officers at IMET known to me as he had is meeting concerning Concordia Healthcare (CRX) cancelled.

26. I knew that Mr. Guy had lost a significant amount of money as a CRX investor and while we had discussed it, we had a differing opinion of the cause.

27. Mr. Guy believed that organized short sellers were the cause of the loss.

28. I contacted RCMP members known to me at IMET regarding Mr. Cohodes request and received several

phone calls back asking about Mr. Cohodes schedule. There were a number of calls between me and Mr. Cohodes while I advocated for him to members of the RCMP.

29. When I offered to connect the RCMP members on their cellular phones directly with Mr. Cohodes by cellular telephone the RCMP refused and the calls abruptly stopped. I learned the following Monday that Albert Pelletier was suspended by the OSC.

30. When I later inquired with the RCMP about Mr. Pelletier I was told that it was a criminal investigation and they could not speak about it.

31. While on vacation with my children in the Bahama's in January 2017 Mr. Guy and I had a heated conversation when he suggested I was involved with the short sellers targeting CRX and that I was in the Bahama's attending financial institutions.

32. In fact, I was at a resort with my children and had no business dealings at all while there.

33. In March 2017 I was contacted by Toronto lawyer Simon Bieber who produced an order signed by the Ontario Minister of Finance directing an independent investigation into the events surrounding Albert Pelletier.



34. I attended the interview with Bieber and provided a statement in the presence of counsel. I had the strong impression that the independent counsel investigative process was being done to isolate the OSC from the liability of the allegations against Mr. Pelletier. I was not told what the allegations were.

35. I was informed later that the investigator substantiated the allegations and the Mr. Pelletier was terminated from the OSC and ineligible for rehire. I was further informed that a criminal investigation was ongoing and the Mr. Pelletier was employed under supervision at the Law Society doing administrative work pending that investigation.

36. I was informed in May 2017 that the criminal investigation into Mr. Pelletier remained open but that no charges were being pursued at that time as Mr. Pelletier played a significant role in the OSC investigation and allegations now filed by the OSC against Home Capital Group (HCG). Specifically, that any charges against Mr. Pelletier would jeopardize the OSC case against HCG. Mr. Pelletier is now civil counsel engaged in class action litigation with CRX.

37. In May of 2017 I was subject to numerous attempts by individuals to access my personal information, cellular telephone records and other documents. Fraud alerts at

my financial institution and Rogers Communications caught these attempts and reported them to me.

38. I received notices that friends and family had been contacted by persons purporting to be old friends trying to locate me and that the names provided by the callers were not names known to me. Specifically, they were seeking contact information in the form of my "personal phone."

39. For the duration of the Fritsch investigation, I maintained a separate telephone (a 647 number) and email account to facilitate the investigative process. The telephone was rarely used but was equipment with software that recorded incoming and outgoing calls. The telephone was used infrequently however it linked to my vehicles Bluetooth and I used it to play music. On occasion I made phone calls from my vehicle with it and simply deleted the conversations after.

40. On two occasions I observed individuals following me while in the downtown Toronto area. I captured images of the individuals and the license plate of their vehicle. I assumed that I had made a mistake as I searched the license plate of the vehicle and it was not found in the MTO system.

41. In July of 2017 I attended a dinner with Mr. Cohodes and others at a downtown restaurant. While seated at our table I was seated to Mr. Cohodes immediate left I noticed a male person at the table to our left seated against the wall and facing myself. The individual was alone and seemed out of place. The male was down dressed, seemed unfamiliar with the menu, did not consume any alcoholic beverage and lingered the entire length of our dinner over a small menu items.

42. Although the male had a blackberry phone which was unusual in 2017, he left the device on the table and did not check his phone that I noticed for the several hours we were there.

43. When we left the restaurant there was a brief congregation on the sidewalk and the male party exited behind us. I used my phone to take several pictures of the male party.

44. When I met with Mr. Milne-Smith in 2020 I was shown photographs taken by me on the sidewalk from that evening. Absent from the photographs presented to me were the photos showing the male party that had been observing the dinner.

45. I later identified the man as an RCMP officer conducting surveillance on either myself, Mr. Cohodes or the group collectively.

46. On August 3, 2017 Fritsch was arrested by the FBI in Santa Monica, California and indicted.

47. In September 2017 I received a phone call to consult on an investigative file. I met with a woman that said she had been referred to me from a client in New York City concerning litigation review of an old Toronto action.

48. When I attempted to understand what was being asked of me, I was told that there was an ongoing investigation into corruption in the Toronto courts and that "powerful" people "knew" that while on the bench former Justice Frank Newbould was acting improperly.

49. The woman I met with implied that her associates were responsible for "exposing Newbould's bias's towards Indian people". From this I understood that she was referring to the National Post stories written by Christie Blatchford on complaints about Newbould brought before the Canadian Judicial Council.

50. The women mentioned that she was aware that I am a Status Indian.

51. This was an interesting implication as the person was clearly not aware that Blatchford was a friend of mine and that I was very familiar with how the story about the complaints was publicized and what my particular role in that was.

52. It is not unknown to some in Toronto that I maintain a strong animosity towards Newbould and significant ill will as a result of 2013 court order requiring me to breach a privilege communication in his courtroom.

53. I felt that this woman was attempting to pique my interest in pursuing Newbould. She provided me with documents purporting to be financial and telephone records of Newbould's. I left the meeting and did not communicate with her at the email address she requested I use.

54. I spoke to John Philips about the numerous off circumstances and met with the RCMP, reporting the recent string of strange events.

55. Mr. Philips knew that I had previously been examined by the OSC and the RCMP and compelled to produce documents and communications with a number of people

56. Mr. Philips after speaking with the RCMP informed me that I was most likely being targeted by investigators engaged surveillance and hacking attempts at the

direction of persons unknown but related to current Toronto litigation.

57. Previously the RCMP had alerted me that there were private actors engaged in activity operating in Toronto and that it was believed they were interested in me.

58. Mr. Phillips asked if I would meet with individuals to discuss the situation and I agreed.

59. I met with investigators at a local Toronto hotel in which I have only ever been to on two other occasions. On those occasions I was there to meet with consular staff from a nearby office.

60. I met with investigators who seemed to have had a different understanding of why we were meeting and they asked me questions about my involvement with short selling conspiracies and about recorded conversations along with some particulars I had not discussed with Philips.

61. I called Philips and reported the odd meeting.

62. I raised concerns about attempts to hack my phone and the identity theft with Philips. Later asked me to meet with Brian Greenspan to attempt to resolve my concerns.

63. Of interest to me was that Greenspan is a noted criminal lawyer and I felt this represented some acknowledgement that cybercrimes such as computer hacking constituted serious offenses. I was also curious as Greenspan was known to be defense counsel for Mark Valentine.

64. I attended a meeting with Mr. Greenspan and Ms. Lutes along with Mr. Riley in what I was told would provide assurances that Mr. Riley's agents were behind behaviour targeted at me.

65. That meeting did not produce such assurances. However, at that meeting Mr. Riley used the term "wolf pack" to describe the collective group of people suspected of operating a short selling cabal. My attention was brought by Mr. Riley to an online video posted just hours before that described a "wolf pack conspiracy.

66. In late September I learned from Blatchford that she was approached by a woman concerning Newbould and that the story was bizarre. We compared descriptions of the woman and noted that they were not the same. I provided Blatchford with the email address I had been given and it was not the same.

67. I was now firmly in the belief that I was targeted by bad actors and that it was highly probable that they were being directed Riley or those in his circle.

68. In October 2017 I was in New York City and attended an event with Mr. Cohodes and others.

69. Prior to the event I met with Justice Department to discuss an ongoing investigation.

70. While in New York on this trip I stayed at the Soho Grand Hotel.

71. On the Thursday and Friday evenings I ate dinner in the hotel bar. On each occasion I was engaged in conversation by a male party whom would casually mention that they were security experts and wanted to banter about "cases."

72. On the Thursday night the man identified himself as Richard and wanted to discuss counterfeit sports apparel and money laundering.

73. On the Friday evening another man identified himself as Andrew and wanted to discuss credit card fraud and the stock market.

74. On this weekend New York City news was filled with stories about Harvey Weinstein being removed from his



company and in particular his actions that took place at the Soho Grand Hotel that were the center of the allegations.

75. It would also emerge that Mr. Weinstein was known to employ Black Cube through an intermediary law firm in New York.

76. On the Sunday evening I had a meeting across the street from the hotel with a woman that had contacted me about an "ongoing" case. We met at the restaurant bar and she introduced herself as Mina.

77. I accepted this invitation to meet because when I spoke to Mina on the phone, she referenced a specific meeting I had in 2011 with Mr. Meir Dagan. This was a meeting very few people knew about. To my knowledge less than a dozen people knew of it. It gave Mina credibility in my eyes that warranted a meeting. She knew the days I was in NYC and what hotel I was at.

78. Mina told me she represented a whistle blower at Catalyst Capital and she gave to me documents pertaining to a company called Gateway Casinos along with a USB device which purported to contain banking and financial documents of Catalyst. I was alarmed by this

79. I did not open the documents or the USB device, instead turning them over to authorities. I later learned the

USB device contained malicious programming aimed at surrendering the operating system of a computer to outside control.

80. Unknown to me was that by this time in Toronto Mr. Riley's Black Cube agents had been engaged in some kind of attempted "sting" of Newbould.

81. I returned to Toronto and within the week discovered that I was being followed.

82. I was able to photograph the persons following me and provided those photographs to the authorities.

83. I was informed that the persons following me were not law enforcement and were in fact "private investigators."

84. During the time in which I was being followed in Toronto there were several attempts to access my mobile phone and email accounts. In one particular case my device was undergoing a forensic examination and the investigator was able to witness it in real time.

85. At this time Block was in NYC and being targeted by investigators in a meeting at the Peninsula Hotel. This encounter was detailed in a Wall Street Journal story and was another incredible set of circumstances.

86. I noted the location of the Peninsula Hotel with extreme interest.

87. From the 2013 Court Order made by Newbould one of the issues of contention was the contents of an encrypted computer hard drive I delivered to the Justice Department at a meeting in the Peninsula Hotel. Manhattan is a large city and the use of the Peninsula seemed more than a coincidence.

88. The Peninsula Hotel was prominently discussed in Newbould's courtroom and I found myself having to consider that one of the litigants in that case was represented by Lax O'Sullivan Lawyer Mr. Rocco DiPucchio who was also counsel to Riley and Catalyst. Additionally, Newbould's order was based on an application by Lenczner Slaght managing partner Peter Griffin. Second to Griffin was Brendan Morrison. Morrison is close personal friends with Greenspan's son and a long-time family friend.

89. In November of 2017 I surrendered my 647 phone to preserve evidence in the Fritsch matter and was informed that it had been accessed and that malware was present.

90. While I was unable to determine what files were compromised on the phone I would later be asked by numerous persons about specific times, dates and a

notation system I only used on the phone. This is information that no other person would have.

91. In early 2018 I again was in New York City and asked to attend a meeting with several lawyers at a Manhattan office. When I arrived, I was approached in the lobby by two persons whom identified themselves as Mr. Vincent Hanna and Mr. Neil McCauley.

92. These persons were obviously expecting me, knew what I looked like and were waiting for me. The one identifying themselves as McCauley had a travel bag on wheels with a Tampa Bay to NYC baggage tag.

93. Vincent Hanna and Neil McCauley are names known to me as characters in the 1995 film HEAT.

94. These two persons told me that they were investigators working a case and that they needed my help. They informed me that they had an extensive budget and that understood I had recordings or "wolf pack" conspirators.

95. Unknown to anyone is that I have previously arranged to meet two US federal agents in the same lobby and when I informed Hanna and McCauley that I thought that they were those agents and invited them to meet the agents they abruptly left. I was able to photograph them

and provide the taxi cab number to the agents when they arrived.

96. I attended the meeting with legal counsel and all present denied knowing about the individual's downstairs.

97. On May 8, 2019 I received an unsolicited email from a Vincent Hanna which contained a laundry list of allegations against a variety of people.

98. Over the course of 2017 and 2018 I was interviewed by the US authorities, Canadian authorities and two securities commissions under order several times.

99. I also met with additional lawyers with Mr. Riley including one with David Moore for the purpose of receiving an explanation of the computer hacking and surveillance I had been subject too. I very directly confronted Mr. Riley on this in the presence of Moore and Mr. Riley deflected the answer.

100. I told Mr. Riley that several persons he believed were engaged as a "wolf pack" were in fact not know to each other and that he would be better served examining the settlement process abuses that allowed market actors to trade in shares that were effectively counterfeit.

101. Mr. Riley informed me that his investigative agents had significant "evidence" connecting the "wolf pack" to the conspiracy theory which is a position he held since the meeting at Greenspan's.

102. I did not challenge or ask Mr. Riley to produce or show me his evidence.

103. I have long held the belief that Mr. Riley has been duped by the investigators he hired.

104. It became clear to me that the authorities and securities commissions had been given information that I held certain information that they wanted. This included very specific questions about audio files labeled in my computer as telephone calls with certain people at certain times.

105. A forensic examination of my computer had previously shown it to contain malware that targeted certain files. Those files included dozens of audio files labeled as phone calls with certain people.

106. From the nature of the questions, I have been interrogated and examined on I believe that certain information has been manufactured in such a way and provided to Riley in that it appears to be distinct.

107. That is that his investigative agents have repackaged and repurposed small amounts of actual information into insinuation and the ability to obtain further information if the investigators are continuously retained.

108. I further believe based on the examinations I have been subjected to that directories of files on my computer were copied along with contents of those folders.

109. This specifically is folders containing audio files which I encrypted with 256k encryption and I believe remain encrypted.

110. I believe Riley or his agents have those files and that they were provided in a complaint to the OSC or RCMP.

111. I believe this because the nature of the very specific dates at times on the label of those encrypted files are specifics I was questioned about. When I denied the existence of such recordings as described in the labels of those files those questioning me became forceful and accused me of "holding" out.

112. At this time, I complained based on that activity that criminal acts of computer and communication offences had occurred.

113. The encryption key for the audio files is (G+KbPeShVmYq3t6v9y\$B&E)H@McQfTj

114. When those files are decrypted those in possession will learn that the files do not contain telephone recordings but are however copies of Pink Floyd's album The Wall, Emmerson Lake and Palmer's album Brain Salad Surgery and my personal favourite The Stone Roses. On the Stone Roses album please pay particular attention to my favourite track "Fool's Gold.

115. Should you not wish to listen to all the tracks you can simply compare the length of the audio files to the aforementioned track listings of the albums.

116. As a result of examination notices served on me in by the OSC and ASC I have been compelled to produce emails, documents, phone records, financial records concerning a number of people including Cohodes. I have also been cautioned that it is an offense to disclose the specifics of what was produced or detail the particulars of the examination.

117. I met with Mr. Cohodes lawyer after the last examination and on the basis of what I had learned strongly suggested Mr. Cohodes litigate the OSC concerning HCG. It is my belief that the litigation process would have revealed the numerous complaints and



investigations being run and the spurious information provided to them.

118. Ultimately, I understand Mr. Cohodes did not do that.

119. In early 2019 I learned the extent of the RCMP investigation and their use of specialized units to examine me.

120. I am told a review of the investigation was conducted by the federal government using an independent investigator.

121. The government to date has refused to release that investigation report.

122. I learned from law enforcement in 2019 that Mr. Riley and Mr. Glassman were under an investigation with respect to computer crimes and the interception of communications based on the actions of their agents and that it was believed Mr. Riley and Mr. Glassman personally directed those actions.

123. I learned that law enforcement had identified two persons who were Canadian citizens that witnessed Mr. Glassman make and receive telephone calls from his home in the Bahama's where he directed those persons to access my computer and devices for the

specific purpose of obtaining the telephone calls and documents.

124. In addition, that directions in the pursuit of West Face employees was also given

125. In addition, that it was believed these directions were also given to pursue US Citizens in the United States.

126. Those persons were identified as Ontario residents Mark Lindsay and Kate Pace.

127. I ascertained from listening to a discussion that there was an issue of jurisdiction if the instructions were issued from the Bahama's and that US Authorities had strong powers in dealing with these types of crimes against its citizens.

128. I understood that Lindsay would also say that Glassman was using narcotics/opioids during this time, had been acting erratic and that his marriage was crumbling and making him more and more unpredictable. From the comments of one agent, I surmised that it had been reported that Glassman had been abusive and scared Pace to the point that she left his employ.

129. I learned that pursuant to an MLAT an MOU existed between Canada and the USA in the matter.

130. I have no other details. I did not pursue it.

131. In 2019 notice was served on the government pertaining to the founded complaint by the Ontario Minister of Finance concerning the actions of Pelletier while at the OSC. The OSC obtained outside counsel to handle the matter and retained Griffin at Lenczner Slaght.

132. It was at this time that I learned Simon Bieber is a partner in the firm defending Black Cube in the litigation with West Face.

133. I also learned that Mr. Riley had met with a Texas based lawyer named Mr. Wes Christian and that Christian had a history of some kind in litigating with Mr. Cohodes.

134. I have been told that Mr. Christian is also counsel to Mr. Patrick Byrne whom is known to Mr. Cohodes.

135. In May of 2019 I was served with a sealed court order to surrender my computer and mobile phone for forensic investigation to a mailbox in Oakville, Ontario.

136. The Order was obtained by DiPucchio's law firm Lax O'Sullivan.

137. When I questioned the use of a mailbox by the court, I was then given an office address of the investigator used by Greenspan in the hotel meeting from 2017.

138. I also learned that Lenczner Slaght was using the same investigator on the case that was still before the courts since the 2013 Order of Newbould and was the same investigator whose office I was directed to provide my computer and mobile phone to.

139. It appeared to me to be another attempt to obtain information from my devices.

140. Despite Mr. Riley telling me that Catalyst had significant evidence against the "wolf pack" he consistently refused to accept my statements to him that the parties he was accusing did NOT know each other.

141. I met with Mr. Riley a final time during the attempt to obtain my computer and phone and Mr. Riley met me in a food court with Mr. DiPucchio. Riley informed me that he had retired and that DiPucchio was now replacing him.

142. In my final attempt with meeting Mr. Riley I thought with someone with no history such as DiPucchio I could seek assurances that further pursuit of my person, devices and materials would stop.

143. Mr. DiPucchio had no information to give.

144. I am thoroughly disgusted with all the parties in this matter.

145. If those responsible for hacking and computer crimes is identified by law enforcement and should Mr. Cohodes seek to litigate those that directed computer crimes against him he can rely upon my cooperation.

146. I continue to cooperate with the various law enforcement interests pursuing those that engaged in computer crimes and trust that they will be held to account.

I had no part in authoring any of the documents in this matter and I believe they were never reviewed with me because the parties knew I would object to their contents and the characterizations in them. I continue to believe the Black Cube using parlour tricks and slight of hand repeatedly fleeced Catalyst and Mr. Riley with magic beans.

Derrick Snowdy