

**3. What is Spine Therapy Technologies' role in the merger--what was it set up to do? What was Sonali Paul's role with it? Was she the only member? It was set up by your former law partner Don Reynolds, a lawyer listed on Globus' IPO. Why was an entity set up by a lawyer whose longstanding client was Globus, with the Globus CEO's wife as partner/member, representing the BMG shareholders when she represented BMG the entity already?**

Spine Therapy Technologies' role in the merger was, and is, to represent the interests of all of the BMG stockholders with respect to future aspects of the transaction, such as any breach or indemnification claims. Don Reynolds' law firm had represented BMG since its inception, and BMG did not have a relationship with another law firm at the time of the acquisition. Globus Medical made the decision to use another law firm with which it had a long standing relationship and allowed Don Reynolds to represent BMG.

Mrs. Paul is the manager of Spine Therapy Technologies and acts on its behalf. Mrs. Paul receives no compensation or other benefits through that entity - from the acquisition or otherwise. Because no economics run through the entity, there was no reason to add the other stockholders as members. It is not uncommon in transactions such as this one to use an entity as the stockholders' representative, rather than an individual.